PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference DSP-94	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
	International filing date (day/month/year) 11 June 2004 (11.06.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 13 June 2003 (13.06.2003)]	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC ⁷ C07D 487/04, 519/00, A61K 31/519, A61P 29/00, 37/00			
Applicant DAIICHI ASUBIO PHARMA CO.,LTD.			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.			
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Вох №. Ш	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4.	The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant rdate (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		

	Date of issuance of this report 13 December 2005 (13.12.2005)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Yoshiko Kuwahara
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Telephone No. +41 22 338 90 90

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			RECEIVED			
To:				PC+ 20 SEP 2004		
				WIPO PCT_		
Ì						
	see form PCT/ISA/220			TEN OPINION OF THE		
				NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
			(PCT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)			
			Date of mailing			
L			(day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)		
	icant's or agent's file reference form PCT/ISA/220		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
Inter	national application No.	International filing date (d	<u> </u>	Priority date (day/month/year)		
	TJJP2004/008643	11.06.2004	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	13.06.2003		
	national Patent Classification (IPC) or I			<u> </u>		
	² D487/04, C07D519/00, A61K3	1/519, A61P29/00, A6	1137/00			
	icant	LTD				
DAI	ICHI SUNTORY PHARMA CO	., LID.				
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
	Box No. II Priority					
	Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of	f invention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or Indust applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
i	☐ Box No. VI Certain docum	ents cited				
	Box No. VII Certain defects	s in the international app	pplication			
	🖾 Box No. VIII Certain observ	ations on the internation	nal application			
2.	FURTHER ACTION					
	If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
	If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3.	3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

9)

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Fazzi, R

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-8510



Form PCT/ISA/237 (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/008643

	Box N	. I Basis of the opinion			
١.		ard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in uage in which it was field, unless otherwise indicated under this item.			
	la	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
	a. type	of material:			
		a sequence listing			
		able(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. forn	t of material:			
		n written format			
		n computer readable form			
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:			
		contained in the international application as filed.			
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.	h:	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.			
1	Additio	al comments:			

Form PCT/IPEA/237 (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/008643

	Box No. II	Priority				
1.		owing document ha	as not been furnishe	ed:		
	☐ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).					6.7(a)).
	□ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(b)).					and 66.7(b)).
	Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.			nion has rity date.		
2.	This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filling date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.					
3.	Additional ob	oservations, if nece	essary:			
		,				
	Box No. V	Reasoned states	ment under Rule /	13 hic 1(a)(i) wit	th regard to novelty, inventi	ve step or
		pplicability; citation	ons and explanati	ons supporting	g such statement	
1.	Statement					
Novelty (N)		Yes: Claims No: Claims	1-9		•	
	Inventive ste	ep (IS)	Yes: Claims No: Claims	1-9		
	Industrial ap	plicability (IA)	Yes: Claims No: Claims	1-9		
2.	Citations and	d explanations				
	see separate sheet					
	Box No. VII	Certain defects	in the internation	al application		
Th	ne following de	efects in the form of	or contents of the in	iternational app	lication have been noted:	
	see separate sheet					
	Box No. VIII	Certain observ	ations on the Inte	rnational appli	cation	
						

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Form PCT/IPEA/237 (January 2004)

1) Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: EP-A-1 176 147 D2: EP-A-1 092 720 D3: EP-A-0 995 750 D4: US-A-5 541 187

2) Novelty (Reference to section V)

D1 discloses a series of pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidin-7-one compounds of general formula I (cf. page 1 of D1), which differ from compounds of the current application in the absence of the present R³ group on the pyridinyl-substituent.

The same applies to compounds of D3 (cf. in particular formulas Va and Vb) on page 7 of D3).

D2 describes pyrazolo[4,3-d]pyrimidin-7one-3-pyridylsulphonyl compounds (cf. pages 1-6 of D2), which differ from present compounds in the substituent pattern on the pyridine moiety.

D4 refers to 6-heterocyclyl-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-ones (cf. formula I on column 4 of D4), over which present compounds of formula IA can be considered a selection (cf. in particular the definition of the group R⁶ in D4, which may represent a six membered heterocyclic ring containing one nitrogen and substituted by a 4-morpholinyl group). However, no compounds are given in D4 falling within the scope of present claim 1.

Thus, the subject-matter of present claims 1-9 meets the requirements of Article 33(2) PCT.

3) Inventive step (Reference to section V)

D4, which may be considered to represent the closest state of the art, discloses 6-heterocyclyl-pyrazolo[3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-ones as inhibitors of the enzymatic activity of phosphodiesterase (PDE). As mentioned above, the subject-matter of current claim 1 consists in a selection over the general formula I of D4.

The problem to be solved by the present application may therefore be considered as the provision of improved phosphodiesterase inhibitors.

The solution proposed by present claim 1 can only be regarded as inventive, if compounds

of present formula (IA) present unexpected effects or properties in relation to those of D4. However, no such effects or properties are indicated in the application.

As regards D1 to D3, it is to be noticed that these documents disclose compounds which are structurally close to those of present formula (IA) and (IB), and which are at the same time PDE inhibitors. The difference between present compounds and those of D1-D3 lies mainly in the position of the current R³ substituent on the pyridinyl-group, which the skilled person would not deem inventive as the modification of a substituent position would be merely one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed.

Furthermore, extremely broad generalisations like "a group which ban be substituted or unsubstituted" are in contradiction with the basis of qualitative structure-activity-relationships. Taking into account the relevant state of the art and the common knowledge, it appears not to be predictable that all alternatives claimed would achieve the same technical effect.

Consequently, the subject-matter of present claims 1-9 does not meet the criteria of Article 33(3) PCT.

4) Reference to section VII

On page 3, line 1 of the description it has been noticed that the Japanese patent citation is not correct.

5) Clarity (Reference to section VIII)

A certain inconsistency has been noticed between the subject-matter of present claim 1 and example 19 given in the description on page 47, wherein the R³ group is absent (in claim 1 R³ can be -NR⁵R⁶, -C(=O)Rⁿ or S(O)₀₋₂R⁶, while in example 19 R³ is represented by a hydrogen group).

Thus, the requirements of Article 6 PCT are not met.